



A Comparative Study of Copper, Zinc and Aluminium as Electrodes in the Preparation of Starch-based Polyelectrolyte

J. O. Jeje^{1*} and K. T. Oladepo¹

¹*Department of Civil Engineering, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ife, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author JOJ designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript while Author KTO managed the analyses of the study and the literature searches. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ACRI/2019/v18i330138

Editor(s):

(1) M. A. Elbagermi, Misurata University, Libya.

Reviewers:

(1) Bogumil E. Brycki, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poland.

(2) Adepoju Francis Adegbola, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/50812>

Original Research Article

Received 10 June 2019
Accepted 20 August 2019
Published 05 September 2019

ABSTRACT

Alum ($Al_2(SO_4)_3 \cdot 18H_2O$) has been the coagulant mostly used in the water treatment process. Alum imported into the country gets stuck at the port because of the congestion of the port. When alum is used to coagulate water, it depresses the pH of the water, thus, requiring a pH booster. Because of the high dependence on alum, alternative coagulants produced with local raw materials will be of great advantage. A starch-based polyelectrolyte was prepared by dispersing 3 g of cassava starch and 10 g of heaping teaspoon of high-test granular calcium hypochlorite (commercially available as HTH) in 100 ml distilled water; making it up to a litre solution with hot distilled water (temperature $80^\circ C - 100^\circ C$) and electrolysing by means of stabilized power supply using aluminium rods as electrodes to produce polyelectrolyte A. Copper rods and zinc rods were similarly used to polyelectrolyte B and polyelectrolyte C respectively. To test the effect(s) and interaction of the charging variables, i.e. separating distance, power supply and time of charging, a three-factor experiment (23 factorial designs) was carried out. The variables were operated at High Level (+) and Low Level (-). The analysis indicates that the power of charging has the most significant effect on the coagulation characteristics of the starch-based polyelectrolyte.

*Corresponding author: Email: jemails2000@yahoo.co.uk;

Polyelectrolytes A and C were tested with raw water drawn from Opa dam and were found to be effective water coagulants. Polyelectrolyte B on the other hand, adds to the turbidity of the raw water with which it was tested. Polyelectrolyte A was found to be as effective as Alum at natural water condition (pH 7) causing better than 88% turbidity removal with the dosage of 65 mg/l.

Keywords: Comparative; starch-based polyelectrolyte; alum; coagulant; surface water treatment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Water which is absolutely pure is not found in nature, every water vapour condensing in the air contains solid and dissolved gases. As condensed waterfalls it sweeps up other material from the air and becomes contaminated on reaching the ground, running over the surface and percolating through the various strata of the soil [1]. These contaminants may be removed by passage through the soil as a result of filtration and exchange and adsorption reactions; some may be removed from the surface water by sedimentation and biological activity; others may be removed by the specifically engineered process in water treatment plants. New impurities are introduced by dissolution and exchange reactions in the soil, others by the accumulation of decomposition and reactions with the materials of the distribution system [2]. In order to provide a potable water supply, raw water from the source has to be treated. The source may be a river, reservoir, well or natural lake. Each of these sources has its own peculiar impurities and means of removing them. A large portion of the suspended particles in water is sufficiently small that their removal in the sedimentation tank is impossible at a reasonable surface overflow rate [3]. Coagulation is also called chemically assisted sedimentation; it is the process of causing the finely divided particles in water to cluster together and form larger particles (flocs) and later settle before passing the water to the filter [4]. The four major mechanisms of coagulation are double-layer compression, adsorption and charge neutralisation, enmeshment in a precipitate and adsorption by polymers, and inter-particle bridging. The understanding of the four processes is necessary for understanding the phenomena of coagulation [5].

The coagulation unit is essential in the removal of impurities and alum (aluminium sulphate) is the most common coagulating agent. There is a need for the local substitute due to the rapid increase in the number of water treatment plants and the constantly increasing cost of alum. Cationic starches have long been used to

flocculate negatively charged particles from aqueous suspension. Safi et al. [6] reported the preparation of 2-aminoethyl ether derivatives of starch which readily flocculated aqueous suspensions of negative colloids. Salim et al. [7] reported the use of high molecular weight polyamines, polyalkylamines and polyacrylonitriles as flocculating materials. Cationic quaternary ammonium starch ether which was found to be an excellent flocculant for materials in an aqueous system [8].

Though these starches are effective flocculating agents for aqueous suspension of negatively charged inorganic and organic particle. Their precise modes of operation were not precisely understood. Sirin [9] was able to give a simple explanation, the simple ionic attraction between the product formed and the colloidal particles leading to the production flocculants – particle aggregates large enough to settle from the suspension; the polyelectrolyte, according to his discovery also form bridge structure that leads to precipitation. Tenney et al. [10] explained that many of the suspended particles in raw water are too small to settle out by themselves in a separation by sedimentation process. The benefit of adding polymer is derived from the agglomeration of these individual particles into larger clumps or flocs allowing them to settle and be removed. The list of synthetic polymers which have been successfully applied to the treatment of water.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Locally purchased cassava was peeled and washed. They were then crushed, with a cassava grater machine (it's a machine which makes shreds of cassava), then oaked for some time in the water after which they were sieved. The filtrate was allowed to settle for some hours and the settled starch was separated from the water by careful decantation of the topwater. The residue was then air-dried, grounded and stored in a desiccator to prevent the adsorption of water vapour from the atmosphere. The material obtained from this process was then used in the preparation of the starch-based polyelectrolyte.

Table 1. Electrolysing variables values at high and low levels

Variable	High level (+)	Low level (-)
Power product of voltage and current (watts)	400	100
Separating distance (mm)	150	50
Time of charging (min)	60	30

Table 2. Factorial design table

Run	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Power, P (Watts)	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Separating Distance, D (mm)	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
Time, T (mins)	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+

2.1 Preparation of Starch-based Polyelectrolyte

Tablets of calcium hypochlorite ($\text{Ca}(\text{OCI})_2$), commercially available as HTH, were ground and stored in a desiccator [11]. Three gram of starch and 10 g of hypochlorite were made into a well-mixed slurry with 100 ml distilled water in a one-litre beaker. Hot distilled water ($70^\circ\text{C} - 100^\circ\text{C}$) was added to the slurry, making it up to a litre solution with continuous stirring. The resulting solution was electrolysed on a stabilized power supply with aluminium rods, copper rods and zinc rods as electrodes one after the other, to produce polyelectrolytes A, B and C respectively. The solution was gently stirred in each case throughout the process of charging. The variables i.e. power, P, separating distance, D and time of charging, T; were tested at the high level (+) and low level (-) for each of the electrodes to generate a 2^3 factorial runs for each of the electrodes (Tables 1 and 2).

2.2 Coagulation of Water Samples

Raw water from the university dam, Opa Dam, was collected and used in testing the effectiveness of the starch-based polyelectrolyte as a coagulant under neutral condition i.e. natural water, pH 7.

Treatment in each case consisted of dosing varying amount of the prepared polyelectrolyte into the water, mixing rapidly for 60 seconds and then slowly for 10 minutes in a jar test stirrer. The water was then allowed to settle for 30 minutes. Coagulation effectiveness was determined by measuring the turbidity of the water sample before and after treatment using a Hach's Model 2100A turbidimeter.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preliminary coagulation test carried out with the starch-based polyelectrolyte as coagulant revealed the following: the ratio of hypochlorite to starch must not be less than 3; the polyelectrolyte can be stored at room temperature for more than 12 days without materially losing its effectiveness as a coagulant; a better turbidity removal can be achieved if the settling time is increased; and when water at natural pH and temperature was used to make the slurry to a litre solution without stirring, the turbidity removal is relatively low compared with when hot distilled water is used with continuous agitation [12].

3.1 Comparing the Effectiveness of the Polyelectrolytes

Varying amounts, in millilitres, of the polyelectrolytes, were used to treat litres of raw water sample (turbidity, 28 NTU). Table 3 shows the conversion from millilitre to milligrams per litre; the conversion is on the basis that 1 mg/l of the solution prepared as indicated in the preceding contain 3 mg/l of starch and 10 mg/l of hypochlorite and that when 1 ml is dosed into a litre of water sample, the dosage is 3 mg/l expressed in term of combination of hypochlorite and starch (i.e. in terms of polyelectrolytes itself).

Table 4 shows the relative performance of varying dosages of polyelectrolytes A, B and C under neutral condition. The performance of polyelectrolyte A is generally better than those of B and C at neutral pH. Polyelectrolyte B, on the other hand, increases the turbidity of the water with which it was tested. The percentage of turbidity removals were computed based on Table 4 for polyelectrolytes A, B and C for each experimental run. Table 5 shows that

polyelectrolyte A at pH 7 performs generally better than polyelectrolytes B and C.

3.2 Main Effect and Interaction of Charging Variables by Factorial Analysis

The main and interaction effect(s) were used to determine the level of influence the factors had

on one another and how the influence was occurring (Uduman et al., 2010). Table 6 shows a summary of these results. It can be seen that power had the most significant main effect on the coagulation characteristics of the starch-based polyelectrolyte while the highest level of interaction effect was observed between the separating distance and the time of charging.

Table 3. Expression of polyelectrolyte (ml) in terms of dosage (mg/l)

The volume of coagulant per litre (ml)	Polyelectrolyte expressed as		
	Starch (mg/l)	Hypochlorite (mg/l)	Polyelectrolyte (mg/l)
0	0	0	0
2.5	7.5	25.0	32.5
5.0	15.0	50.0	65.0
7.5	22.5	75.0	97.5
10.0	30.0	100.0	130.0
12.5	62.5	125.0	187.5
15.0	75.0	150.0	225.0

Table 4. Relative effects of varying dosages of polyelectrolytes A, B and C on turbidity removal and pH of treated water samples

Dosage (mg/l)	Polyelectrolyte C		Polyelectrolyte B		Polyelectrolyte A	
	Final turbidity (NTU)	Final pH	Final turbidity (NTU)	Final pH	Final turbidity (NTU)	Final pH
0						
15	13.2	7.8	42.2	7.6	6.2	7.7
32.5	11.6	7.7	43.4	7.6	4.8	7.7
65	9.8	7.6	44.0	7.5	3.8	7.5
97.5	10.0	7.4	44.0	7.4	4.2	7.4
130	12.4	7.3	44.7	7.4	5.6	7.4

Table 5. Summary of percentage turbidity removal of polyelectrolytes A, B and C

Dosage (mg/l)	Percentage turbidity removal		
	Polyelectrolyte A	Polyelectrolyte B	Polyelectrolyte C
130	63.52	-18.26	45.48
97.5	70.39	-17.8	50.15
65	74.8	-16.44	52.68
32.5	70.48	-14.46	49.08
15	59.75	-12.95	43.84

Table 6. Summary of main effect and interaction effect of the charging variables

Effect	Polyelectrolyte A	Polyelectrolyte B	Polyelectrolyte C
Mean	74.75	27.98	52.63
P	19.0	-21.07	17.25
D	-0.5	8.43	1.25
PD	0	2.17	-1.75
T	1.0	5.97	1.25
PT	-3.5	-3.68	4.25
DT	-7.0	1.98	-8.75
PDT	2.5	-12.03	-0.75

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results, the following conclusions could be drawn: when 3 g of cassava starch and 10 g of calcium hypochlorite are dispersed in hot distilled water and charged, the resulting product, starch-based polyelectrolyte, is effective in coagulating raw water. A dosage of 65 mg/l of polyelectrolytes A and C results in 88% and 69% turbidity removal respectively. The same dosage of polyelectrolyte results in 7.5% turbidity addition.

Polyelectrolyte A performs generally as well as an alum but does not depress the pH as alum does. This means that the starch-based polyelectrolyte needs little or no lime to aid it in the reduction of potable water at the neutral pH range. The solution is stable at room temperature. It neither spoils nor loses its coagulation effectiveness for at least 12 days. Power supplied during the charging process has the most significant effect on the coagulation characteristics of the starch-based polyelectrolyte while the highest level of interaction effect is between separating distance and time of charging.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Gerde JA, Yao LX, Lio JY, Wen ZY, Wang T. Microalgae flocculation: Impact of flocculant type, algae species and cell concentration. *Algal Research-Biomass Biofuels and Bioproducts*. 2014;3:30-35.
2. Ellis AE, Stanley IU, Abiola O, Ogedengbe MO. Preparation of cationic starches as flocculants for water. *Water Resources*. 1981;16:1433-1435.
3. Granados MR, Acien FG, Gomez C, Fernandez-Sevilla JM, Grima EM. Evaluation of flocculants for the recovery of freshwater microalgae. *Bioresource Technology*. 2012;118:102-110.
4. Lee AK, Lewis DM, Ashman PJ. Microbial flocculation, a potentially low-cost harvesting technique for marine microalgae for the production of biodiesel. *Journal of Applied Phycology*. 2014;21:559-567.
5. Rashid N, Rehman SU, Han JI. Rapid harvesting of freshwater microalgae using chitosan. *Process Biochemistry*. 2013;48:1107-1110.
6. Safi C, Zebib B, Merah O, Pontalier PY, Vaca-Garcia C. Morphology, composition, production, processing and applications of *Chlorella vulgaris*: A review. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2014;35:265-278.
7. Salim S, Bosma R, Vermue MH, Wijffels RH. Harvesting of microalgae by bioflocculation. *Journal of Applied Phycology*. 2011;23:849-855.
8. Sanchez JF, Fernandez JM, Acien FG, Rueda A, Perez-Parra J, Molina E. Influence of culture conditions on the productivity and lutein content of the new strain *Scenedesmus almeriensis*. *Process Biochemistry*. 2008;43:398-405.
9. Sirin S. Harvesting the microalgae *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* with polyaluminum chloride, aluminium sulphate, chitosan and alkalinity induced flocculation. *Journal of Applied Phycology*. 2012;24:1067-1080.
10. Tenney MW, Echelber WF, Schuessl RG, Pavoni JL. Algal flocculation with synthetic organic polyelectrolytes. *Applied Microbiology*. 1969;18:965.
11. Uduman N, Bourniquel V, Danquah MK, Hoadley AFA. A parametric study of electrocoagulation as a recovery process of marine microalgae for biodiesel production. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 2011;174:249-257.
12. Vandamme D, Foubert I, Fraeye I, Meesschaert B, Muylaert K. Flocculation of *Chlorella vulgaris* induced by high pH: Role of magnesium and calcium and practical implications. *Bioresource Technology*. 2012;105:114-119.

© 2019 Jeje and Oladepo; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/50812>