



Antioxidant Capacity and Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Contents of Methanolic Extracts of *Urtica dioica* L. by Different Extraction Techniques

Sabina Begić^{1*}, Emir Horozčić¹, Hurija Alibašić¹, Edita Bjelić¹, Salih Seferović¹,
Ermina Cilović Kozarević², Merima Ibišević², Amila Zukić², Enida Karić²
and Merima Softić²

¹Faculty of Technology, University of Tuzla, Urfeta Vejzagića 8, 75 000 Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

²Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Tuzla, Urfeta Vejzagića 8, 75 000 Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors SB, EH, HA and AZ designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors EB, SS, ECK and MI performed the analyses of the study. Authors EK and MS performed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IRJPAC/2020/v21i2330319

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Farzaneh Mohamadpour, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Iran.

Reviewers:

(1) Kuldeep Kumar, Career Point University, India.

(2) Assad Assadi, Islamic Azad University, Iran.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/63986>

Original Research Article

Received 15 October 2020
Accepted 20 December 2020
Published 29 December 2020

ABSTRACT

In this study, the efficacy of different extraction techniques (maceration, ultrasound-assisted and Soxhlet extraction) on the content of biologically active components in extracts from fresh and dried nettle leaves, and their antioxidant activity were analyzed. Methanol was used as the solvent. Total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity were determined by Folin-Ciocalteu, DPPH and FRAP methods, respectively. High content of total phenolic compounds and high antioxidant activity were recorded in extracts of dried nettle. Extracts obtained from fresh nettle samples showed significantly lower content of analyzed bioactive components and lower antioxidant activity. In the case of all extracts, Soxhlet extraction proved to be the most efficient, and maceration the least efficient extraction technique for isolation of bioactive components from nettle leaves.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sabina.begic77@gmail.com, sabina.begic@untz.ba;

Keywords: Nettle; DPPH; extraction; methanol; in vitro study.

1. INTRODUCTION

Urtica dioica (Urticaceae), commonly known as nettle is an herbaceous perennial species. Growing up to 1 m high, nettle produces erect and wiry stems that hold up its opposite, roughly textured, serrated leaves [1-4]. The plant is rich of chemical component and composition [5], which is why it has a long history of use as a food, medicine and against hair loss [1,2,6]. Nettle contains neuromodulators acetylcholine, histamine, serotonin, which are also neurotransmitters, and choline which is the precursor for acetylcholine. The nettle leaves contain a significant number of biologically active compounds, such as terpenoids, carotenoids including β -carotene, neoxanthin, violaxanthin, lutein and lycopene, fatty acids, especially palmitic, cis-9,12-linoleic and α -linolenic acids, different polyphenolic compounds, essential amino acids, chlorophyll, vitamins such as A, B, C, E and K, tannins, carbohydrates, sterols, polysaccharides, isolectins and minerals [7-19]. Scientific studies have shown that *Urtica dioica* extracts have antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic, and anti-aging effects, which is associated with the content of phenolic compounds in them [20-22]. Phenolic compounds are famous group of secondary metabolites with wide pharmacological activities [23]. The term „plant phenolics” encompasses simple phenols, phenolic acids, coumarins, flavonoids, stilbenes, up to hydrolysable and condensed tannins, lignans, and lignins [24]. It is generally accepted that therapeutic effects of many plant species are attributed to the presence of antioxidative phenolics in their tissues [25], which is why numerous studies have been published on their extraction from various plant species and the determination of their content and antioxidant properties. Extraction of phenolics from plant materials is carried out by various conventional and advanced techniques, such as maceration, Soxhlet, ultrasound-assisted extraction, microwave-assisted extraction, supercritical fluid extraction, etc. and among them the most widely used techniques employ solvents [26]. Solvent extraction can be defined as a process of separation by applying a solvent to extract the targeted component (solute) from the solid [27]. Solvents, such as methanol, ethanol, acetone, ethyl acetate, and their combinations have been used for the extraction of phenolics from plant materials, often with different proportions of water [28]. Many

published studies of *Urtica dioica* have examined and compared the antioxidant activity and other health benefits of nettle extracts, using different solvents. Nettle extract obtained using ethyl acetate showed maximum antioxidant activity compared to those obtained with petroleum ether, n-butanol and ethanol [29]. Ethyl acetate extracts also showed obvious antibacterial and antioxidant activities in comparison to dandelion ethyl acetate extracts [30]. Hydroalcoholic extract of *Urtica dioica* showed positive in-vitro antioxidant activity and nettle was described as natural antioxidant that can replace the synthetic ones to be used in foods and cosmetics [31]. In studies on aqueous extracts of nettle biological compounds, antioxidant capacity and their anti-diabetic, antimutagenic and hypotensive effects were determined [9,21,32,33]. Methanolic extracts studies suggested that *Urtica dioica* has a protective capacity and antioxidant activity against cisplatin toxicity in EAT-bearing mice and can efficiently dissolve calcium oxalate renal stones in male Sprague-Dawley rats [34,35]. In this paper, the influence of the applied extraction technique on total phenolic and flavonoid contents in extracts obtained from fresh and dried *Urtica dioica* leaves was investigated, where methanol was used as a solvent. Given the potential benefits of nettle bioactive compounds as antioxidants, it is useful to have more studies available on the effect of the type of extraction method on their amount in extracts, for *Urtica dioica* of different geographical origin and seasonal harvest conditions, and in regard, this paper represents a significant contribution.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

All chemicals used were of analytical grade and were used as received, without any further purification. Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and sodium carbonate purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, sodium acetate and gallic acid, ferric chloride and 2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Methanol was purchased from Semikem (Sarajevo, B&H).

2.1 Sampling and Preparation of Materials for Analysis

Urtica dioica, which has been harvested in Tuzla area (Bosnia and Herzegovina) in June, was cleaned, and leaves were separated from the

rest of the plant. One part of the fresh leaves was immediately subjected to extraction, and the other part was previously dried for seven days at room temperature, in a dark and dry place. Prior to the extraction procedure, fresh nettle samples were chopped with a knife, and dry samples grounded in an electric mill.

2.2 Preparation of Methanol Extracts

Three methods were used for extraction: Soxhlet extraction, ultrasound-assisted extraction and maceration. In all three cases, 10 grams of fresh or dried nettle were weighed and transferred to a flat-bottomed balloon, or paper tube (in the case of Soxhlet extraction), and poured with 150 mL of methanol. Ultrasound assisted extraction was performed in an Elmasonic S ultrasonic bath, without heating. Maceration was performed at room temperature with stirring at 300 rpm with Tehnica Vibromix 40. After four hours of extraction for all three methods, the extracts were filtered through filter paper and then stored in a dark and cool place before analysis.

2.3 Determination of Total Phenolic Content (TPC)

Total phenolic compounds present in the extracts were quantified spectrophotometrically through the Folin-Ciocalteu test following the protocol [36], with some modifications. 200 µL of extract was mixed with 2.54 mL of 10% Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. After 5 min, 420 µL of 10% sodium carbonate was added. 910 µL of distilled water was added to each sample prior to measuring. The absorbance of the resulting blue-coloured solution was measured at 765 nm. Quantitative measurements were performed, based on a standard calibration curve of gallic acid ($y = 0,0042x + 0,0076$, $R^2 = 0,9998$). The total phenolic content was expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE) in milligrammes per 100 grams of nettle sample.

2.4 Determination of Total Flavonoid Content (TFC)

Total flavonoid content in the extracts was determined by the previously described method [37], with some modifications. 1 mL of extract solution was mixed with 0.3 mL of 5% sodium nitrite. 0.3 mL of 10% aluminium chloride was added after 5 minutes. After 6 minutes of incubation at room temperature, 1 mL of 1 M sodium hydroxide was added to the reaction

mixture, and the final volume was made up to 10 mL with distilled water. Absorbance of sample was measured against the blank at 510 nm using a spectrophotometer. The results were derived from the calibration curve ($y = 3,024x - 0,0034$; $R^2 = 0,9984$) of quercetin and expressed in quercetin equivalents (QE) per 100 grams of nettle sample.

2.5 Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) Assay

The ferric reducing antioxidant power of each extract, which reflects the antioxidant activity, was determined following the protocol [38]. 3 mL of prepared FRAP reagent was mixed with 100 µL of diluted extracts. Absorbance at 593 nm was recorded after a 30 min incubation at 37°C. The FRAP value was calculated from the calibration curve of iron (II) sulfate heptahydrate ($y = 0,001x + 0,0698$; $R^2 = 0,9997$).

2.6 DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity

2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) method was performed according to the previously described method [39]. A series of solutions in test tubes was made by adding different volumes of extract supplemented with up to 2 mL of methanol. 0.5 mL of 0.5 mM DPPH solution was added and the samples were left to incubate for 30 minutes in a darkened room at a room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 517 nm with methanol as a blank sample. 0.5 mL of 0.5 mM DPPH dilution, diluted with 4 mL of methanol, was used as a control sample. The radical scavenging effect (%) or percent inhibition of DPPH radical was calculated according to the equation:

$$[(Ac - As) / Ac] \times 100$$

where As is the absorbance of the solution containing the sample at 517 nm, and Ac is the absorbance of the DPPH solution. The results were expressed as the IC₅₀ value (mg/mL).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC) and antioxidant capacity of methanolic extracts from fresh and dried nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.) were determined by Folin-Ciocalteu, DPPH, and FRAP methods. The results obtained are presented in Tables 1 and 2, where each sample of U-labeled extract is associated with an appropriate number indicating

the applied technique for its extraction (1- Soxhlet, 2-ultrasound-assisted and 3-maceration) and with designation (f), or (d), indicating whether the extraction was performed from a fresh or dry sample. Based on the results of measured phenolic compounds in extracts (Table 1), it can be seen that methanolic extracts obtained from dried nettle leaves had a high TPC (883-2323 mg GAE/100 g) depending on the extraction technique used, and TFC ranged from 1.42 to 3.05 mg QE/100 g. Comparing these results with the results of TPC (592 to 1963 mg GAE/100 g) and TFC (0.81-1.80 mg QE/100 g) of extracts obtained from fresh samples, it can be noticed that TPC and TFC values of extracts from dry samples were higher compared to the fresh samples, for all used extraction methods. The ranges of these values are comparable to the data from other study [40], where the results of TPC of methanolic extracts from dry leaves showed a high total phenolic content (15.5–21.8 mg/g). The higher values of TPC and TFC of extracts obtained from dried samples can be explained by the influence of drying of plant material on the preservation of phytochemicals in the final extract, because fresh plant samples are fragile and tend to deteriorate faster than dried samples [41]. In addition, drying can modify the physical micro structure of plant tissues, which leads to increased extraction yields [42]. The extraction efficiency will be enhanced by the small particle size due to the enhanced penetration of solvents and diffusion of solutes, and generally, the finer the particle size is, the better result the extraction achieves [43], which in the present research is accomplished by smaller particle size of milled dry plant material compared to chopped fresh material. From the aspect of efficiency of extraction methods, the highest contents of TPC and TFC were measured in the extracts obtained by Soxhlet extraction of dry and fresh nettle samples, and the lowest contents were measured in the extracts obtained by maceration. This is in line with outcomes of other researches, where within conventional methods Soxhlet had the highest extraction yield [44], that is, the higher content of total phenolic and flavonoids compared to those obtained by maceration and ultrasound-assisted extraction [45]. The higher efficiency of extraction of phenolic compounds by Soxhlet compared to maceration and ultrasonic extraction can be attributed to the positive effect of high temperature applied in the extraction process, since an increase in the extraction temperature can increase both solubility and mass transfer rate [28]. In addition, the large difference

between the concentrations of analyte in the cell solution and in the solvent is maintained throughout, due to multiple digestion of a plant material and continuous extraction while a fresh portion of a solvent is delivered [46]. Despite the drawbacks of conventional methods, Soxhlet is considered as a reference method and generally is used for comparison with the more sophisticated methodologies recently developed [47].

Table 2 shows the results of antioxidant capacity of nettle methanolic extracts, measured by FRAP and DPPH assays. It is essential to perform more than one type of antioxidant capacity measurement, because the antioxidant activity is a complex procedure usually happening through several mechanisms and is influenced by many factors, which cannot be fully described with one single method [48].

Table 1. Total phenolic and flavonoid content in nettle extracts

Extract	TPC [mg GAE/100 g]	TFC [mg QE/100 g]
U-1(f)	1963	1.80
U-2(f)	658	0.86
U-3(f)	592	0.81
U-1(d)	2323	3.05
U-2(d)	1293	1.78
U-3(d)	883	1.42

Table 2. Results of antioxidant capacity of extracts

Extract	FRAP value [μmol/100 g]	IC ₅₀ value [mg/mL]
U-1(f)	14436.97	0.35
U-2(f)	10011.71	0.61
U-3(f)	7899.03	0.84
U-1(d)	26523.07	0.25
U-2(d)	11358.94	0.55
U-3(d)	9277.31	0.70

Based on the results in Table 2, the lowest extract concentration required for inhibition of 50% DPPH radicals was achieved with extracts obtained by Soxhlet technique, ie. extracts both from fresh (0.35 mg/mL) and dried nettle samples (0.25 mg/mL) obtained by Soxhlet extraction method showed significantly higher antioxidant activity comparing with other extracts. High content of phenolic compounds may be responsible for their strong antioxidant activity [49]. The efficiency of the extraction methods, given the maximum antioxidant capacity of the

extracts, can be shown in the following order U-1> U-2> U-3 for dry and fresh samples, and the same order applies to the efficiency of obtaining extracts with the highest TPC and TFC, which is in favor of the previously stated. Linear regression analysis showed a direct correlation between total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of nettle extracts [50]. The results also showed that antioxidant capacity values were higher in the extracts from dry nettle compared to the extracts from fresh nettle leaf, for all extraction methods. This confirms that the drying and extraction methods are major factors contributing to radical scavenging activities of herbal plants and their extracts [51].

4. CONCLUSION

The results of different extraction techniques (maceration, ultrasound-assisted and Soxhlet extraction) of fresh and dried *Urtica dioica* leaves suggest that nettle can be used as a source of antioxidant phenolic compounds. Among the techniques used in the present research, Soxhlet provided methanolic extracts with the highest content of total phenolic compounds and flavonoids while their content in extracts obtained by maceration was the lowest. Total antioxidant capacity from both DPPH and FRAP assays showed similar trend. The results of the content of total phenolic compounds and flavonoids in the extracts and the antioxidant activity of the extracts indicate their significant correlation. Extracts obtained from dried nettle leaves showed significantly higher antioxidant activity compared to fresh leaves, in all extraction techniques used. The overall results of this study can provide important information, both in the selection of techniques for the extraction of phytochemicals for pharmaceuticals, and the method of optimal preparation of plant material for extraction. Future scope of the study, which would include more samples of *Urtica dioica* of different geographical origin, along with chemical analysis of plant material and extracts, would further contribute to defining the correlation of extraction of specific phenolic compounds with certain extraction methods.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for

any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the grant of the University of Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina in 2019.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Hall J, Bravo-Clouzet R. anti-inflammatory herbs for arthritis. In: Watson RR, Preedy VR, editors. Bioactive food as dietary interventions for arthritis and related inflammatory diseases. 1st ed. London: Academic Press; 2012.
2. Jan KN, Zarafshan K, Singh S. Stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.): A reservoir of nutrition and bioactive compounds with great functional potential. J. Food Meas. Charact. 2017;11:423-433. DOI: 10.1007/s11694-016-9410-4
3. Ahmed MKK, Subramani P. *Urtica dioica* L., (Urticaceae): A stinging nettle. Sys Rev Pharm. 2014;5(1):6-8. DOI:10.5530/srp.2014.1.3
4. Baumgardner DJ. Stinging nettle: The bad, the good, the unknown. J Patient Cent Res Rev. 2016;3(1):48-53. DOI: 10.17294/2330-0698.1216
5. Otles S, Yalcin B. Phenolic compounds analysis of root, stalk, and leaves of nettle. Sci World J. 2012;2012:564367. DOI: 10.1100/2012/564367
6. Wolska J, Czop M, Jakubczyk K, Janda K. Influence of temperature and brewing time of nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.) infusions on vitamin C content. Rocznik Panstw Zakl Hig. 2016;67(4):367-371. PMID: 27925706
7. Kregiel D, Pawlikowska E, Antolak H. *Urtica* spp.: Ordinary plants with extraordinary properties. Molecules. 2018;23(7):1-21. DOI: 10.3390/molecules23071664
8. Gül S, Demirci B, Can Baser KH, Akpulat HA, Aksu P. Chemical composition and in vitro cytotoxic, genotoxic effects of essential oil from *Urtica dioica* L. Bull

- Environ Contam Toxicol. 2012;88:666-671.
DOI: 10.1007/s00128-012-0535-9
9. Kukrić ZZ, Topalić-Trivunović LJN, Kukavica BM, Matoš SB, Pavičić SS, Boroja MM, Savić AV. Characterization of antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of nettle leaves (*Urtica dioica* L.) Acta Period. Technol. 2012;43:257-272.
DOI: 10.2298/APT1243257K
 10. Guil-Guerrero JL, Reboloso-Fuentes MM, Torijalsasa ME. Fatty acids and carotenoids from stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.). J Food Compos Anal. 2003;16:111-119.
DOI: 10.1016/S0889-1575(02)00172-2
 11. Kudritsata SE, Filman GM, Zagorodskaya LM, Chikovanii DM. Carotenoids of *Urtica dioica*. Che Nat Compd. 1986;22:604-605.
DOI: 10.1007/BF00599278
 12. Bađci E. Fatty acid composition of the aerial parts of *Urtica Dioica* (Stinging Nettle) L. (Urticaceae) In: Şener B., editor. Biodiversity. Springer; Boston, MA, USA: 2002;323-327.
DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4419-9242-0_40
 13. Orčić D, Francišković M, Bekvalac K, Svirčev E, Beara I, Lesjak M et al. Quantitative determination of plant phenolics in *Urtica dioica* extracts by high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometric detection. Food Chem. 2014;143:48-53.
DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.07.097
 14. Pinelli P, Ieri F, Vignolini P, Bacci L, Baroni S, Romani A. Extraction and HPLC analysis of phenolic compounds in leaves, stalks, and textile fibers of *Urtica dioica* L. J Agric. Food Chem. 2008;56:9127-9132.
DOI: 10.1021/jf801552d
 15. Rutto LK, Xu Y, Ramirez E, Brandt M. Mineral properties and dietary value of raw and processed stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.). Int. J. Food Sci. 2013;857120.
DOI: 10.1155/2013/857120
 16. Sajfirtová M, Sovova H, Opletal L, Bartlova M. Near-critical extraction of β -sitosterol and scopoletin from stinging nettle roots. J. Supercrit. Fluid. 2005;35:111-118.
DOI: 10.1016/j.supflu.2004.12.008
 17. Kara D. Evaluation of trace metal concentrations in some herbs and herbal teas by principal component analysis. Food Chem. 2009;114:347-354.
DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.09.054
 18. Bauman H, Perez J. Food as medicine: Stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*, Urticaceae). Herbal EGram. 2018;15(7).
Accessed 14 December 2020
Available: http://cms.herbalgram.org/heg/volume15/07July/FAM_Nettle.html
 19. Tack FM, Verloo MG. Metal contents in stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.) as affected by soil characteristics. Sci. Total Environ. 1996;192(1):31-39.
DOI: 10.1016/0048-9697(96)05289-8
 20. Dar SA, Yousuf AR, Ganai FA, Sharma P, Kumar N, Singh R. Bioassay guided isolation and identification of anti-inflammatory and anti-microbial compounds from *Urtica dioica* L. (Urticaceae) leaves. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2012;11(65):12910-12920.
DOI: 10.5897/AJB11.3753
 21. Mukundi MJ, Mwaniki NEN, Piero NM, Murugi NJ, Kelvin JK, Yusuf AA et al. Potential anti-diabetic effects and safety of aqueous extracts of *Urtica dioica* collected from Narok County, Kenya. Pharm Anal Acta. 2017;8(5):1-8.
DOI: 10.4172/2153-2435.1000548
 22. Bourgeois C, Leclerc EA, Corbin C, Doussot J, Serrano V, Vanier JR et al. Nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.) as a source of antioxidant and anti-aging phytochemicals for cosmetic applications. C R Chim. 2016;19(9):1090-1100.
DOI: 10.1016/j.crci.2016.03.019
 23. Ghasemzadeh A, Ghasemzadeh N. Flavonoids and phenolic acids: Role and biochemical activity in plants and human. J. Med. Plant Res. 2011;5(31):6697-6703.
DOI: 10.5897/JMPR11.1404
 24. Stalikas CD. Extraction, separation, and detection methods for phenolic acids and flavonoids. J. Sep. Sci. 2007;30(18):3268 – 3295.
DOI: 10.1002/jssc.200700261
 25. Augspole I, Duma M, Ozola B, Cinkmanis I. Phenolic profile of fresh and frozen nettle, goutweed, dandelion and chickweed leaves. In: 11th Baltic Conference on Food Science and Technology Food science and technology in a changing world, FoodBalt–Jelgava, Latvia. 2017;36–39.
DOI: 10.22616/foodbalt.2017.028
 26. Khoddami A, Wilkes MA, Roberts TH. Techniques for analysis of plant phenolic compounds. Molecules. 2013;18(2):2328-2375.
DOI: 10.3390/molecules18022328
 27. Elnour AAM, Mirghani MES, Musa KH, Kabbashi NA, Alam MZ. Challenges of extraction techniques of natural antioxidants and their potential application

- opportunities as anti-cancer agents health. Sc.i J. 2018;12(5):1-25.
DOI: 10.21767/1791-809X.1000596
28. Dai J, Mumper RJ. Plant phenolics: Extraction, analysis and their antioxidant and anticancer properties. *Molecules*. 2010;15(10):7313–7352.
DOI: 10.3390/molecules15107313
 29. Joshi BC, Mukhija M, Semwal S. Antioxidant potential and total phenolic content of *Urtica Dioica* (Whole Plant). *J App Pharm*. 2015;7(2):120-128.
DOI: 10.21065/19204159.7.2.98
 30. Ghaima KK, Hashim NM, Ali SA. Antibacterial and antioxidant activities of ethyl acetate extract of nettle (*Urtica dioica*) and dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*). *J. Appl. Pharm. Sci*. 2013;3(5):096-099.
DOI: 10.7324/JAPS.2013.3518
 31. Khare V, Kushwaha P, Verma S, Gupta A, Srivastava S, Singh Rawat AK. Pharmacognostic evaluation and antioxidant activity of *Urtica dioica* L. *Chinese Medicine*. 2012;3(3):128-135.
DOI: 10.4236/cm.2012.33021
 32. Darsanaki RK, Rokhi ML, Mohammadi M, Raeisi G, Nourbakhsh M, Aliabadi MA. Antimutagenic properties of nettle leaf aqueous extract (*Urtica dioica* L.). *Biomed Pharmacol J*. 2012;5(2):247-250.
DOI: 10.13005/bpj/351
 33. Tahri A, Yamani S, Legssyer A, Aziz M, Mekhfi H, Bnouham M et al. Acute diuretic, natriuretic and hypotensive effects of a continuous perfusion of aqueous extract of *Urtica dioica* in the rat. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 2000;73(1-2):95-100.
DOI: 10.1016/s0378-8741(00)00270-1
 34. Asgarpanah J, Mohajerani R. Phytochemistry and pharmacologic properties of *Urtica dioica* L. *J. Med. Plant. Res*. 2012;6(46):5714-5719.
DOI: 10.5897/JMPR12.540
 35. Zhang H, Li N, Li K, Li P. Protective effect of *Urtica dioica* methanol extract against experimentally induced urinary calculi in rats. *Mol Med Rep*. 2014;10(6):3157-62.
DOI: 10.3892/mmr.2014.2610
 36. Singleton VL, Orthofer R, Lamuela-Raventos RM. Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of folin-ciocalteu reagent. *Methods Enzymol*. 1999;299:152-178.
DOI: 10.1016/s0076-6879(99)99017-1
 37. Olajire AA, Azeez L. Total antioxidant activity, phenolic, flavonoid and ascorbic acid contents of Nigerian vegetables. *Afr. J. Food Sci. Technol*. 2011;2(2):22-29.
Accessed 14 December 2020
Available:<http://www.interesjournals.org/AJFST>
 38. Benzie IFF, Strain JJ. Ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay: Direct measure of total antioxidant activity of biological fluids and modified version for simultaneous measurement of total antioxidant power and ascorbic acid concentration. *Methods Enzymol*. 1999;299:15-27.
DOI: 10.1016/s0076-6879(99)99005-5
 39. Horozic E, Zukić A, Kolarević L, Bjelošević D, Ademović Z, Šarić-Kundalić et al. Evaluation of antibacterial and antioxidant activity of methanol needle extracts of *Larix Decidua* Mill., *Picea abies* (L.) H. Karst. and *Pinus Nigra* J. F. Arnold. *TTEM*. 2019;14(1):14-19.
Accessed 14 December 2020.
Available:http://add.ttem.ba/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/ttem_14_2_web.pdf
 40. Kumar MHM, Prathima VR, Sowmaya, Siddagangaiyah, Thribhuvan KR. et al. Study of nutritional quality, phytochemical constituents and antioxidant activities by different solvents of nettle (*Urtica urens*) from Madikeri-Karnataka State. *Int. Res J Pharm. App Sci*. 2013;3(5):112-119.
Accessed 14 December 2020.
Available:<https://scienztech.org/irjpas/article/view/530/439>
 41. Azwanida NN. A review on the extraction methods use in medicinal plants, principle, strength and limitation. *Med Aromat Plants*. 2015;4(3):1-6.
DOI: 10.4172/2167-0412.1000196
 42. Saifullah M, McCullom R, McCluskey A, Voung Q. Effects of different drying methods on extractable phenolic compounds and antioxidant properties from lemon myrtle dried leaves. *Heliyon*. 2019;5(12):1-8.
DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e03044
 43. Zhang QW, Lin LG, Ye WC. Techniques for extraction and isolation of natural products: a comprehensive review. *Chin Med*. 2018;13(1):1-26.
DOI: 10.1186/s13020-018-0177-x
 44. Bandar H, Hijazi A, Rammal H, Hachem A, Saad Z, Badran B. Techniques for the

- extraction of bioactive compounds from lebanese *Urtica dioica*. Am J Phytomed Clin Ther. 2013;1(6):507-513.
Accessed 14 December 2020
Available: <https://www.imedpub.com/articles/techniques-for-the-extraction-of-bioactivecompounds-from-lebanese-urtica-dioica.pdf>
45. Stanojević LJ, Stanković MZ, Cvetković DJ, Cakić MD, Ilić DP, Nikolić VD et al. The effect of extraction techniques on yield, extraction kinetics, and antioxidant activity of aqueous-methanolic extracts from nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.) leaves. Sep Sci Technol. 2016;51(11):1817-1829.
DOI: 10.1080/01496395.2016.1178774
46. Arceusz A, Wesolowski M, Konieczynski P. Methods for extraction and determination of phenolic acids in medicinal plants: A review. Nat. Prod. Commun. 2013;8(12):1821-29.
PMID: 24555304
47. Rodríguez De Luna SL, Ramirez-Garza RE, Serna Saldivar SO. Environmentally friendly methods for flavonoid extraction from plant material: Impact of their operating conditions on yield and antioxidant properties. Sci. World J. 2020;6:1-38.
DOI: 10.1155/2020/6792069
48. Jemli ME, Kamal R, Marmouzi I, Zerrouki A, Cherrah Y, Alaoui K. Radical-scavenging activity and ferric reducing ability of *Juniperus thurifera* (L.), *J. oxycedrus* (L.), *J. phoenicea* (L.) and *Tetraclinis articulata* (L.). Adv Pharmacol Sci. 2016;6392656:1-6.
DOI: 10.1155/2016/6392656
49. Rababah TM, Al-u'datt M, Alhamad M, Al-Mahasneh M, Ereifej K, Andrade J et al. Effects of drying process on total phenolics, antioxidant activity and flavonoid contents of common mediterranean herbs. Int. J. Agric. Biol. Eng. 2015;8(2):145-150.
DOI: 10.3965/j.ijabe.20150802.1496
50. Bhatt BD, Parajuli GC. Study on total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), and antioxidant activities of *Urtica dioica* of Nepalese origin. J. Nepal. Chem. Soc. 2017;37:113-118.
DOI: 10.3126/jncs.v37i0.32169
51. Nakbanpote W, Ruttanakorn M, Sukadeetad K, Sakkayawong N, Damrianant S. Effects of drying and extraction methods on phenolic compounds and *in vitro* assays of *Eclipta prostrata* Linn leaf extracts. Science Asia. 2019;45(2):127-137.
DOI: 10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2019.45.127

© 2020 Begić et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/63986>